- Q. And those are the two degrees that you described earlier today, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. If we can move forward chronologically and if you could tell me what the next position is that you applied for at Wyeth and that you were denied.
- A. It would have to be maybe the manager's position that was made available in 2004.
- Q. And what position was that? What group was that with?
- A. That was in the group I was working with. They changed the title from train to PPU which is primary processing unit, so the position was reporting to the person who I reported to at the time which was Andrew Espejo.
- Q. So this was a new position, did not previously exist?
- A. Basically there was a supervisor lead position that they
- 12:14:12 2 12:14:17 3 12:14:19 4 12:14:20 5 12:14:21 6 12:14:29 7 12:14:31 8 12:14:35 9 12:14:39 10 12:14:40 11 12:14:58 12. 12:15:02 13 12:15:10 14 12:15:12 15 12:15:13 16 12:15:15 17 12:15:19 18 12:15:21 19 12:15:24 20 12:15:26 21 12:15:32 22 12:15:36 23 12:15:37 24

12:15:43 25

changed the title to to a manager's position, and the supervisor lead position became what was now called the manager of PPU 1. It was a manager's position that was created.

- Q. So no one held this position previously?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q: Is that fair to say?
- A. Well, the title, no one held the title previously but the position itself was just transposed into a title.
 - Q. And who held the --
- A. Michael Collaraffi, and prior to that -- well, excuse me, let me rephrase that. Joe Torres, he did it on an interim basis. Prior to that was Mike Collaraffi, and before that it was Todd Davenport.
- Q. How did you learn about this position?
- A. They had mentioned it through discussions within the area and

12:15:47 2 12:15:50 3 12:15:54 4 12:15:56 5 12:16:04 6 12:16:05 7 12:16:07 8 12:16:08 9 12:16:08 10 12:16:10 11 12:16:12 12 12:16:15 13 12:16:18 14 12:16:18 15 12:16:20 16 12:16:23 17 12:16:25 18 12:16:29 19 12:16:31 20 12:16:34 21 12:16:46 22 12:16:48 23 12:16:48 24 12:16:49 25

LEGALINK, A MERRILL CORPORATION (800) 325-3376 www.Legalink.com

12:16:51 2 12:16:56 3 12:16:59 4 12:17:09 5 12:17:11 6 12:17:11 7 12:17:11 8 12:17:13 9 12:17:13 10 12:17:14 11 12:17:15 12. 12:17:17 13 12:17:22 14 12:17:27 15 12:17:30 16 12:17:30 17 12:17:37 18 12:17:39 19 12:17:39 20 12:17:41 21

12:17:42 22

12:17:44 23

12:17:46 24

12:17:48 25

HOWARD A. HENRY

then it became officially document posted I think in September/October of 2004 I think.

- Q. And did you submit a bid for this position?
 - Α. Yes.
- Ο. Were you interviewed for the position?
 - Α... Yes.
 - **Q** Who were you interviewed by?
- There was a panel consisting of Derek Burt, Cara Muscolo, Andrew Espejo, and I think Chris DeFeciani.
- Q. So you interviewed with them simultaneously?
 - Α. Yes.
- What do you recall from the interview?
- Α. They asked a series of questions, it was structured and the interview was based -- the interview was based on a series of questions each of the panelists had.
 - Q. Did you get the sense it was

	II
1	
12:17:49 2	a
12:17:51 3	
12:17:56 4	
12:17:57 5	gq.
12:17:59 6	po
12:17:59 7	
12:18:02 8	
12:18:03 9	to
12:18:04 10	
12:18:12 11	Тŀ
12:18:13 12	<u>.</u>
12:18:15 13	ak
12:18:16 14	
12:18:16 15	
12:18:18 16	th
12:18:19 17	
12:18:21 18	
12:18:24 19	fc
12:18:27 20	Мг
12:18:28 21	;
12:18:30 22	as
12:18:32 23	
12:18:34 24	p∈
12:18:35 25	

HOWARD A. HENRY

- a series of predetermined questions?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you talk to any other people that interviewed for the same position?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Who was that that you spoke to?
- A. I spoke to Joe Torres.

 That's all I can recall at this time.
- Q. Did Mr. Torres tell you about his interview?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did he tell you he was asked the same series of questions?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you know who else applied for this position besides yourself and Mr. Torres?
- A. I know they went around asking people to interview.
- Q. Who's they? Who asked people to interview?
 - A. Andrew Espejo was asking

12:18:37 2 12:18:39 3 12:18:40 4 12:18:42 5 12:18:43 6 12:18:47 7 12:18:48 8 12:18:49 9 12:18:51 10 12:18:53 11 12:18:53 12 12:18:57 13. 12:18:59 14 12:19:01 15 12:19:04 16 12:19:08 17 12:19:10 18

HOWARD A. HENRY

certain people to interview for the position.

- Q. Did he ask you to interview?
- A. No.
- Q. Did you discuss interviewing with him at all?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And what did you discuss with him, could you tell me about those conversations?
- A. I said basically the duties that are described here and that were done by the previous individuals they came to me to understand about the position and to make their job easier and I helped them out and I definitely feel that I definitely qualify for this position. He said let's see what the interview brings up and let's see what happens.
- Q. Do you know who the ultimate -- you've explained to me who interviewed, who the individuals were who conducted the interview. Do you

12:19:31 25

12:19:13 19

12:19:15 20

12:19:17 21

12:19:19 22

12:19:27 23

12:19:29 24

12:28:51 2 12:29:56 3 12:29:57 4 5 12:30:08 7 12:30:08 8 12:30:09 9 12:30:11 10 12:30:16 11 12:30:18 12. 12:30:20 13 12:30:24 14 12:30:26 15 12:30:33 16 12:30:36 17 12:30:38 18 12:30:40 19 12:30:42 20 12:30:44 21 12:30:46 22 12:30:46 23 12:30:47 24

12:30:53 25

HOWARD A. HENRY

Α. No.

Q.

MR. McQUADE: Can you mark this, please.

(Henry Exhibit 8 for identification, Bates stamped 1531, 1526 and 1524.)

document which we've marked as Exhibit It looks like a series of three separate emails which we've stapled together. Looking at the first page can you tell me what this is?

Mr. Henry, I've handed you a

- The first page seems to be a formal email stating that they received my bid.
- And the email, whoever wrote this email is also advising you that the position you're applying for is three grade levels -- or more than two grade levels above your current position; is that correct?
 - Correct.
- Were you aware of the fact that this position was three grade

levels above your current position when you were applying for the position?

- Α. No.
- Q. Do you believe you were denied this position because of your race or because you were being discriminated against or retaliated against in any way?
 - Α. Yes.
- Q. Can you explain that for me, please?
- A. Basically I qualified for the position. Individuals who held this position I helped guide and give advice to and helped do duties associated with the position. Most managers who interviewed me were appointed their position. They didn't have to interview for them. They were given those positions.

This position was made a formal bid to sort of make a structure around it and it was -- it was kind of formalized when as far as other

12:30:55 2 12:31:01 3 12:31:02 4 12:31:04 5 12:31:13 6 12:31:16 7 12:31:20 8 12:31:22 9 12:31:23 10 12:31:23 11 12:31:27 12

12:31:27 13

12:31:31 14

12:31:34 15

12:31:38 16

12:31:42 17

12:31:44 18

12:31:47-19

12:31:48 20

12:31:51 21

12:31:52 22

12:31:54 23

12:31:57 24

12:32:03 25

12:32:06 2

12:32:07 3

12:32:10 4

12:32:12 .5

- 12:32:14 6
- 12:32:18 7
- 12:32:20 8
- 12:32:22 9
- 12:32:26 10
- 12:32:29 11
- 12:32:31 12.
- 12:32:34 13
- 12:32:36 14
- 12:32:39 15
- 12:32:40 16
- 12:32:42 17
- 12:32:44 18
- 12:32:52 19
- 12:32:54 20
- 12:32:54 21
- 12:32:55 22
- 12:32:56 23
- 12:32:57 24
 - 12:33:03 25

HOWARD A. HENRY

positions that were available for other managers, they didn't have a formal bidding structure like this. It was restructured so they can actually be given this position.

The title was changed from senior supervisor to manufacturing support with exactly the same duties.

I qualified for it, I applied for it, I was the most qualified at the time for it in terms of the duties, in terms of track record, in terms of years of service in the department, and I definitely felt that I was discriminated against when it came to this position.

- Q. And your basis for that belief is as you just described, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Is there any other basis for that belief?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Do you have any reason to

LEGALINK, A MERRILL CORPORATION (800) 325-3376 www.Legalink.com

AFTERNOON

as follows:

N SESSION

1:44 p.m.

THE VIDEO OPERATOR: Returning to the record at 1:44 from 12:45.

H O W A R D A. H E N R Y, resumed, having been previously duly sworn, was examined and testified further

(Henry Exhibit 10 for identification, Bates stamped 4330 through 4334.)

EXAMINATION BY MR. McQUADE:

- Q. Mr. Henry, I'm handing you a document that's been marked Exhibit 10, I believe, yes, Exhibit 10. Do you recognize this document?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And what is it?
- A. It's my performance planning and appraisal of 2000.
- Q. Do you typically, or during your employment at Wyeth did you typically receive such a performance planning and appraisal each year?

13:44:14 5

13:43:59 3

13:43:59 4

7

1

8 . 9

10

11

13:44:39 13

13:44:39 12

13:44:41 14

13:44:46 15

13:44:48 16

13:44:49 17

13:44:50 18

13:44:51 19

13:44:52 20

13:44:56 21

13:44:58 22

13:45:03 23

13:45:05 24

13:45:08 25

HOWARD A. HENRY

13:45:11 2 13:45:12 3 13:45:14 4 13:45:17 5 13:45:19 6 13:45:19 7 13:45:21 8 13:45:22 9 13:45:28 10 13:45:30 11 13:45:32 12. 13:45:40 13 13:45:46 14 13:45:46 15 13:45:48 16 13:45:51 17 13:45:53 18 13:45:53 19 13:45:54 20 13:45:58 21 13:45:59 22 13:46:00 23 13:46:03 24

13:46:04 25

- A. They changed the structure from time to time. They changed the criteria from time to time, how they did it. But you got appraised every year.
- Q. And how did that appraisal process work?
- A. Depending on the year, your supervisor would discuss your achievements and goals at the end of a particular year. So in this case, it went from January 2000 to December of 2000.
- Q. And did you typically meet with the manager or supervisor who completed the performance evaluation for you?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And who was the supervisor that performed this performance evaluation?
 - A. Walter Wardrop.
- Q. And did you meet with Mr. Wardrop regarding this performance

13:46:07 2 | evaluation?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Do you recall anything about that meeting in particular, what he said, what you said, what was discussed?
- A. He asked, he said that he got feedback from my previous supervisor based on my performance in that area, because I was new to the area. So basically he only had a few months to evaluate me.

So we discussed my role in the area, how he saw the role and how I can -- I told him about my desires to grow within the area, learn my duties and do them well, and to just continue to grow as an engineer, as an individual in the organization.

Q. If you'd turn to Page 4 of this performance review, it provides a summary of the performance and there's an overall rating that is provided. Do you see that?

- 13:46:07 3 13:46:08 4 13:46:11 5
- 13:46:15 6
- 13:46:16 7
- 13:46:17 8
- 13:46:22 9
- 13:46:24 10
- 13:46:27 11
- 13:46:29 12
- 13:46:32 13
- 13:46:38 14
- 13:46:40 15
- 13:46:46 16
- 13:46:49 17
- 13:46:52 18
- 13:46:56 19
- 13:46:59 20
- 13:47:01 21
- 13:47:05 22
- 13:47:08 23
- 13:47:11 24
- 13:47:14 25

HOWARD A. HENRY 13:47:14 2 Α. Yes. 13:47:15 3 And what is your overall 13:47:17 4 rating for this year? 13:47:19 5 It said solid performer, 13:47:21 6 which is a three. 13:47:22 7 And there are four different 13:47:24 8 rating categories -- I'm sorry, five 13:47:26 9 different rating categories on this 13:47:28 10 particular form; is that correct? 13:47:29 11 Α. Correct. 13:47:30 12. A solid performer falling 13:47:35 13 right in the middle, correct? 13:47:36 14 Α. Correct. 13:47:37 15 If you turn the page to Page 13:47:39 16 5, you see under section 5 there's a 13:47:43 17 section for employee comments? 13:47:47 18 Α. Right. 13:47:47 19 Q. You didn't provide any . 13:47:49 20" comment, did you? 13:47:50 21 Α. No. 13:47:51 22 And you signed this 13:47:54 23 agreement. Is that your signature

Yes.

13:47:55 24

13:47:55 25

there?

- Q. And then under yours is Walter Wardrop's signature?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And then do you recognize the signature under Mr. Wardrop's signature?
- A. I believe that's Jack Riley's.
 - Q. And who is Jack Riley?
- A. He was the director in the area at that time.
- Q. Did you have any disagreement with anything written in this performance evaluation? I'll rephrase the question for you. Do you recall having any disagreement with this performance review?
 - A. No.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall thinking whether it was a -- whether you thought it was a good review?
 - A. No.
 - Q. You have no recollection?
 - A. I felt -- I felt that I was
- 13:47:56 2 13:47:59 3 13:48:00 4 13:48:00 5 13:48:02 6 13:48:04 7 13:48:05 8 13:48:06 9 13:48:07 10 13:48:08 11 13:48:10 12 13:48:17 13 13:48:18 14 13:48:19 15 13:49:15 16 13:49:16 17 13:49:18 18 13:49:19 19 13:49:19 20 13:49:26 21 13:49:27 22 13:49:32 23 13:49:33 24

13:49:34 25

new to the area so there was not much I could have, you know -- at that time, I was rather green to the area, so. Just getting -- I was getting to know just some of the duties in the area, so. I was -- I was -- at that time I was accepting of it.

Q. Had you received ratings of -- well strike that.

(Henry Exhibit 11 for identification, Bates stamped 4020 through 4025.)

- Q. I'm going to hand you another document which has been marked Exhibit 11. Do you recognize this document?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And what is this?
- A. My performance evaluation for 2001.
- Q. And who completed this evaluation for you?
 - A. Walter Wardrop.
 - Q. Did you meet with Mr.

13:49:38 2 13:49:42 3 13:49:47 4 13:49:54 5 13:49:55 6 13:50:01 7 13:50:04 8 13:50:06 9 13:50:12 10 11 12. 13:50:15 13 13:50:15 14 13:50:16 15 13:50:18 16 13:50:31 17 13:50:31 18 13:50:32 19 13:50:33 20 13:50:35 21 13:50:36 22 13:50:38 23 13:50:38 24 13:50:40 25

LEGALINK, A MERRILL CORPORATION (800) 325-3376 www.Legalink.com

13:50:41 2 13:50:43 3 13:50:44 4 13:50:48 5 13:50:50 6 13:50:53 7 13:50:55 8 13:50:58 9 13:51:01 10 13:51:05 11 13:51:06 12 13:51:11 13 13:51:14 14 13:51:18 15 13:51:25 16 13:51:30 17 13:51:32 18 13:51:36-19 13:51:39 20 13:51:41 21 13:51:43 22 13:51:46 23 13:51:48 24

13:51:59 25

HOWARD A. HENRY

Wardrop about this evaluation?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And what did you discuss?
- A. He was pleased with my performance. He was happy of the strides that I made. He liked the fact that I got along with people, my leadership qualities, the type of individual I was, how I was a leader in my department when it came to a lot of issues, and how I've grown as a person, as an engineer, my respect for people, how I collaborate well, the quality of work I produced, my integrity.
- Q. Did Mr. Wardrop have any criticism that you recall of your performance, constructive or otherwise?
 - A. I can't recall.
- Q. How was your relationship with Mr. Wardrop at this time?
- A. I felt it was good, very good I felt at the time.
- Q. Again I notice that on Page 5 employee comments there's -- you

HOWARD A. HENRY 13:53:02 2 And he'd give you -- in 0. 13:53:04 3 addition, he'd give you a copy? 13:53:06 4 Α. Sometimes you'd get the copy 13:53:08 5 Like I didn't get a copy afterwards. 13:53:09 6 of this until I requested it later on. 13:53:12 7 So I didn't have a copy. 13:53:14 8 ο. Do you recall having -- do 13:53:23 9 you recall disagreeing with anything 13:53:24 10 written in this particular performance 13:53:25 11 review? 13:53:26 12 No, I don't recall I Α. 13:53:27 13 disagreed with anything. 14 (Henry Exhibit 12 for identification, Bates stamped 4015 13:53:35 16 through 4019.) 13:53:35 17 I'm handing you a document 13:53:36 18

- that's been marked Henry Exhibit 12. Do you recognize this?
 - Α. Yes.
 - And what is it? Q.
- Α. My performance evaluation for 2002.
- And at this time Mr. Wardrop was still your supervisor?

13:53:52 19

- 13:54:04 2 A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you recall your meeting -- did you have a meeting with Mr. Wardrop --
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. -- about this performance review?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you remember anything from that meeting?
 - A. I remember that I felt that I should have been rated higher for some of the things I was able to accomplish that were critical in the group. I remember when he gave me the line item 2 for the special project that I told him that I did do it, but I didn't have a chance to give it to him and he understood that.

But other than that, I told him that, you know, I want to continue to grow, and I asked him what would it take for me to be a five because I was a four twice, I always want to improve,

13:54:15 6

13:54:13 5

13:54:05 3

13:54:12 4

- 13:54:15 7
- 13:54:17 8
- 13:54:17 9
- 13:54:17 10
- 13:54:18 11
- 13:54:20 12.
- 13:54:22 13
- 13:54:25 14
- 13:54:26 15
- 13:54:29 16
- 13:54:32 17
- 13:54:35 18
- 13:54:37 19
- 13:54:40 20
- 13:54:44 21
- 13:54:49 22
- 13:54:51 23
- 13:54:53 24
 - 13:54:55 25

HOWARD A. HENRY

14:00:04	2	
14:00:06	3	
14:00:08	4	
14:00:12	_. 5	

14:00:13 6

14:00:16 7

14:00:17 8

14:00:19 9

14:00:21 10

14:00:21 11

14:00:23 12.

14:00:25 13

14:00:25 14

14:00:27 15

14:00:33 16

14:00:35 17

14:00:38 18

14:00:40 19

14:00:42 20

14:00:45 21

22

23

14:00:49 24

14:00:49 25

there. That's a supervisory function.

I would supervise the operators and the supervisors and tell them exactly what they should do. That's outside of my job function. So there's a lot of things that are not captured here that are outside of my job function that I performed.

- Q. Did you explain these items to Mr. Wardrop at the time of the performance review?
 - A. I did. I did.
 - Q. What was his response?
- A. He shook his head, he understood, he respected the fact. I said to him, you know, as far as I'm concerned, next year I'm going to do everything I can to be rated five. He said okay.

(Henry Exhibit 13 for identification, Bates stamped D 00176 and 177.)

Q. I hand you a document that's

	·
1	
14:00:50 2	been marked
14:01:05 3	me what thi
14:01:07 4	
14:01:08 5	another one
14:01:10 6	
14:01:11 7	yes.
14:01:13 8	
14:01:19 9	A.
14:01:22 10	Q.
14:01:24 11	before?
14:01:24 12	. A.
14:01:25 13	Q.
14:01:31 14	Wardrop reg
14:01:33 15	Α.
14:01:33 16	Q.
14:01:36 17	Α.
14:01:37 18	2003. Well
14:01:43 19	not, from w
14:01:46 20	occur on Se
14:01:52 21	what I f
14:01:54 22	remember
14:01:55 23	that time.
14:01:58 24	Q.
14:02:01 25	of this doc
i	1

HOWARD A. HENRY d Exhibit 13. Can you tell

is document is?

MR. MORELLI: Do you have e?

MR. McQUADE: Oh, sorry,

MR. MORELLI: Thank you.

- This is a midyear review.
- Have you seen this document
 - Yes.
- Did you meet with Mr. garding this document?
 - Yes.
 - Was that in September 2003?
- This was not in September l, I mean -- this -- this was what I recall, this did not eptember 3rd, 2003. From from what I recall. I don't I don't remember it being
- Okay. Other than the timing cument, do you recall seeing

14:02:05 2 14:02:08 3 14:02:09 4 14:02:10 5 14:02:12 6 14:02:14 7 14:02:16 8 14:02:17 9 14:02:18 10 14:02:20 11 14:02:22 12. 14:02:23 13 14:02:25 14 14:02:25 15 14:02:27 16 14:02:28 17 14:02:30 18 14:02:34 19 14:02:38 20 14:02:39 21 14:02:50 22 14:02:51 23 14:02:53 24

14:03:01 25

HOWARD A. HENRY

this document in --

- A. I recall some of the things on this document.
- Q. Okay. And did you sit down -- did you sit down with Mr. Wardrop and discuss this document as part of a midyear review?
 - A. Yes.
- Q Okay. So you're telling me you're not sure --
- A. Of the exact date. I don't think it was conducted on September 3rd.
- Q. Okay. When do you think it was conducted?
- A. I remember something around April/May, around that time, something closer to that range. I don't remember anything like this happening in September. We were too busy.
- Q. Okay. So I'd like you to take a close look at the document and tell me -- well let me focus you -- under the heading "Areas to focus for

remainder of 2003"?

- A. Right.
- Q. It lists a number of projects that are in process, establishing goals that need to be completed by year's end. Goals is capitalized. Do you know what that is referring to?
- A. These are things that he -that we discussed, that he would like
 to see if possible completed by the end
 of the year.
- Q. Okay. The second bullet point there talks about improved attendance. Did you discuss attendance with Mr. Wardrop?
 - A. Briefly.
- Q. What did he say about attendance?
- A. I don't recall exact, his exact words. I don't recall his exact words.
- Q Does that appear to be accurate? This indicates that as of

1 14:03:04 2 14:03:12 3 14:03:13 4 14:03:14 5 14:03:17 6 14:03:19 7 14:03:22 8 14:03:24 9 14:03:24 10 14:03:28 11 14:03:29 12 14:03:33 13 14:03:42 14 14:03:44 15 14:03:45 16 14:03:48 17 14:03:50 18 14:03:53 19 14:03:56 20 14:03:56 21 14:04:00 22 14:04:05 23

14:04:06 24

14:04:07 25

LEGALINK, A MERRILL CORPORATION (800) 325-3376 www.Legalink.com

(Henry Exhibit 16 for identification, Bates stamped D 00273 through 294.)

- I'm going to hand you two documents here, one marked 15 and one marked 16. If you'd turn your attention to the document marked Henry Exhibit 15, please.
 - Α. · Yes.
- Q. Do you recall receiving this email from Mr. Wardrop?
- I think I opened it when I came back from my honeymoon, this particular one dated the 10th of October.
- Okay. In this email he states "The following email was sent on September 8th."
 - A. Right.
- "The self-appraisal must be completed and turned into me by October 1st."
 - Α. Right.
 - Do you remember receiving Q.

1 2 3 14:18:59 4 14:18:59 5 14:19:00 6 14:19:03 7 14:19:28 8 14:19:30 9 14:19:32 10 14:19:33 11 . 14:19:38 12 14:19:40 13 14:19:41 14 14:19:45 15 14:19:49 16 14:19:50 17 14:19:53 18 14:19:57 19 14:19:58 20 14:19:59 21 14:20:03 22 14:20:05 23 14:20:06 24

14:20:07 25

LEGALINK, A MERRILL CORPORATION (800) 325-3376 www.Legalink.com

	-
	1
14:20:08	2
14:20:09	3 .
14:20:10	4
14:20:14	_: 5
14:20:16	6
14:20:17	7
14:20:18	8
14:20:19	9
14:20:21	10
14:20:21	11
14:20:34	12.
14:20:35	13
14:20:36	14
14:20:39	15 ·
14:20:39	16
14:21:03	17
14:21:05	18
14:21:07	19
14:21:10	20
14:21:12	21
14:21:13	22
14:21:17	23
.14:21:19	24
14:21:20	25

that email on October 8th?

- A. Right, right.
- Q. And then on this date,
 October 2nd, you're receiving a second
 email from Mr. Wardrop?
 - A. Right.
- Q. Saying he didn't receive your self-appraisal and goals?
 - A Right.
 - Q. If you'd turn to Exhibit 16.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. This is the goals and self-appraisal that Mr. Wardrop was referring to?
- A. Without reading the whole entire document, it seems like it.
- Q Okay. And the date you submitted these to Mr. Wardrop are January 5th, 2004?
 - A. Right.
- Q. This was after you had your meeting with Mr. Wardrop regarding your performance review?
 - A. Right.

- 14:21:21 2 14:21:36 3 14:21:40 4 14:21:42 5 14:21:44 6 14:21:47 7 14:21:51 8 14:21:53 9
- Can you tell me in your own words what this Exhibit 16 is, the goals and self-appraisal?

Α. The whole thing is a tracking system that's used to determine whether or not you're achieving goals set forth at the beginning of the year. We use it to determine whether or not we should continue in a certain direction, whether we should concentrate on one particular item and leave another item for a later date.

- Don't you think it would be helpful to have this document for a manager in preparing a performance review?

MR. MORELLI: If you can Objection. Can you answer

answer. that?

I felt that the relationship Walter and -- Walter and I had and what we were corresponding to throughout the year was sufficient. In other words,

14:21:58 11

14:21:56 10

- 14:22:00 12
- 14:22:04 13
- 14:22:06 14
- 14:22:10 15
- 14:22:12 16
- 14:22:14 17
- 14:22:15 18
- 14:22:21-19
- 14:22:22 20
- 14:22:23 21
- 14:22:25 22
- 14:22:29 23
- 14:22:31 24
- 14:22:33 25

	1
14:27:48	2
14:27:53	3
14:28:00	4
14:28:03	. 5
14:28:05	6
14:28:09	7
14:28:15	8
14:28:16	9
14:28:21	10
14:28:24	11
14:28:26	12
14:28:28	13
14:28:30	14
14:28:31	15
14:28:33	16
14:28:35	17
14:28:37	18
14:28:42	19
14:28:44	20
14:28:46	21
14:28:47	22
14:28:49	23
14:28:50	24
14:28:59	25

degrees. And we interact with each other a lot, you know, and I see what they do. Other engineers from that area told me what they do. So we interact a lot. So we know what the job requires. So I know the capacity one has to have to perform those functions. And the requirement itself tells one that this is a -- this is a position for someone who's possibly getting their foot in the door but not somebody at that time who had seven, eight, nine years of experience that I had, and the track record I had.

- The position had the same, carried the same salary grade level?
 - Yes, for me, yes.
- Q. So you would not, presumably not, if you had been put in this position you would not have received any change in salary or level, correct?
 - Α. No.
- Did Mr. Wardrop tell you it was a demotion?

HOWARD A. HENRY

14:31:41 2 14:31:43 3 14:31:46 4 14:31:47 5 14:31:49 6 14:31:53 7 14:31:55 8 14:31:59 9 14:32:02 10 14:32:04 11 14:32:06 12. 14:32:10 13 14:32:11 14 14:32:13 15 14:32:16 16 14:32:22 17 14:32:23 18 14:32:24 19 14:32:25 20 14:32:27 21 14:32:29 22 14:32:31 23 14:32:33 24 14:32:35 25

that they're currently doing, and I decided that I need to meet with him regarding this decision. I didn't agree with this decision. I didn't agree with being appointed to this I didn't agree how I was evaluated. And I felt that I needed to let him know that out of respect because at this point I was saying to myself perhaps we miscommunicated, perhaps we didn't really talk to each other the way we should have and expressed everything we should have. So I said let me just talk to him, and I did. And that was on January 5th.

- Q. Who requested that meeting? Was that you?
- A. It wasn't a request. It was just that, you know, we interacted and I said Walter, I need to speak to you, and he said well, I have interviews throughout the day. I said whenever you have some time I really would like to. So there was some time available.

14:32:37 2

I went to his office and we spoke.

14:32:40 3

Q. And what did you tell him?

14:32:43 4

I said you -- the reason Α.

- 14:32:48 5
- why -- I said I don't understand this
- 14:32:50 6
- decision, I don't -- I don't really
- 14:32:54 7
- respect the fact that I'm being
- 14:32:57 8
- evaluated the way I was evaluated and I
- 14:33:00 9
- need for you to give me some
- 14:33:02 10
- clarification. He said that, you know,
- 14:33:04 11
- he's not going to give me anything.
- 14:33:06 12
- said I need to be reconsidered, I need
- 14:33:09 13
- to be reevaluated because I don't think
- 14:33:12 14
- the procedure was fair, I don't believe
- 14:33:14 15
- that I was evaluated fairly.
- 14:33:16 16
- 14:33:19 17
- 14:33:21 18
- 14:33:23 19
- 14:33:26 20
- 14:33:28 21
- 14:33:32 22
- 14:33:38 23
- 14:33:41 24
- 14:33:44 25

but...

He said -- and I said I have these documents here, you didn't -- you said you didn't need them, you said you didn't want them, but I have them here, and I presented them with the engineering status report, with another document that tracks the goals, with --

I think with another document.

remember the three that I gave him,

LEGALINK, A MERRILL CORPORATION (800) 325-3376 www.Legalink.com

I can't

HOWARD A. HENRY

- 14:33:44 2
- 14:33:46 3
- 14:33:47 4
- 14:33:48 5
- 14:33:51 6
- 14:33:53 7
- 14:33:55 8
- 14:33:58 9
- 14:34:00 10
- 14:34:03 11
- 14:34:05 12
 - 14:34:08 13
 - 14:34:10 14
 - 14:34:12 15
 - 14:34:15 16
 - 14:34:17 17
- 14:34:19 18
- 14:34:20 19
- 14:34:22 20
- 14:34:24 21
- 14:34:26 22
- 14:34:29 23
- 14:34:31 24
- 14:34:33 25

- Q. Is that Exhibit 16 or some portion of Exhibit 16?
 - A. Yes, right.
 - Q. Okay.
- And I told him I followed the company values, I did achieve the goals that we discussed. If there was any goal that couldn't be achieved I explained to him what I did in the Nothing changed to me from what we had in the past. The relationship I thought we had was if I didn't achieve something I told him why, I told him that it probably is going to take a collaboration, a collaborative effort between technology or QA and other people, they have to be pulled off their projects in order for us to get this done, what would you like for me to do. So I let him know, he said he wasn't going to do anything.

He said at this point he's not going to reevaluate me. He's not going to take my documents. As a

HOWARD A. HENRY

- 14:36:31 2
- 14:36:37 3
- 14:36:38 4
- 14:36:40 5
- 14:36:41 6
- 14:36:43 7
- 14:36:45 8
- 14:36:46 9
- 14:36:48 10
- 14:36:52 11
- 14:36:58 12.
- 14:36:59 13
- 14:37:04 14
- 14:37:06 15
- 14:37:08 16
- 14:37:10 17
- 14:37:16 18
- 14:37:18 19
- 14:37:19 20
- 14:37:43 21
- 14:37:44 22
- 14:37:46 23
- 14:37:47 24
- 14:38:04 25

- Q. Do you remember when that meeting occurred?
- A. Sometime in January. Could have been a couple of days after, maybe the seventh.
- Q. Did he show you the revised performance appraisal at that time?
- A. I don't recall if he showed it to me. I can't recall. But he said that, you know, he made some changes and the changes still weren't satisfactory, they still didn't capture everything that I did. So I told him that, you know, I have to continue to move on.

He said that -- I can't remember the exact things that he said at this point. I don't want to speculate.

- Q. Okay. If you could turn your attention to Exhibit 17.
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. Final page, Page 4.

 MR. MORELLI: For the

14:38:05 2 14:38:07 3 14:38:15 4 14:38:17 5 14:38:18 6 14:38:21 7 14:38:28 8 14:38:29 9 14:38:32 10 14:38:34 11 14:38:37 12 14:38:39 13 14:38:41 14 14:38:43 15 14:38:45 16 14:38:47 17 14:38:47 18 14:38:48 19 14:38:51 20 14:38:52 21 14:38:53 22 14:38:54 23 14:38:55 24 14:38:56 25

HOWARD A. HENRY

record, the exhibit has an additional page after Page 4, in what you gave me, anyway.

MR. McQUADE: Right.

- Q. On Page 4, under section 4, "Employee comments," it says "This performance review was conducted with Mr. Henry on December 17th, 2003. Mr. Henry disagreed with his ratings, chose not to sign it and has submitted a rebuttal." Are you with me?
- A. Oh, I see it. I thought you were looking at the bottom, I'm sorry.
- Q. I'm looking at the top, under section 4, employee comments.
 - A. Right.
- Q. This says that you submitted a rebuttal. Did you ever submit any type of --
 - A. Not that I --
 - Q. -- written rebuttal?
 - A. Not that I recall.
- Q. It says "He has not submitted his 2003 goals and objectives

14:38:59 2 14:39:02 3 14:39:05 4 14:39:09 5 14:39:09 6 14:39:10 7 14:39:11 8 14:39:13 9 14:39:14 10 14:39:17 11 14:39:19 12. 14:39:24 13 14:39:25 14 14:39:25 15 14:39:27 16 14:39:29 17 14:39:42 18 14:39:43 19 14:39:44 20 14:39:45 21 14:39:50 22 14:39:51 23 14:39:52 24 14:39:54 25

HOWARD A. HENRY

or his self-appraisal for inclusion in this review. " And it's signed by it looks like Mr. Wardrop and Mr. Schaschl?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And then on the bottom it says, "The review was given to Howard during a meeting in my office on January 16th, 2004. Howard refused to sign and did not take the document."
- A. I don't recall these employee comments.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. I don't recall those.
- Q Do you recall seeing this revised review?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you remember how the review changed?
- A. I don't remember exactly how the review changed.
- Q. Do you remember anyone telling you how the review changed?
 - A. I remember Walter and Joanne

14:39:58 2 14:40:01 3 14:40:04 4 14:40:06 5 14:40:13 6 14:40:15 7 14:40:16 8 14:40:19 9 14:40:21 10 14:40:22 11 14:40:23 12 14:40:25 13 14:40:26 14 14:40:27 15 14:40:29 16 14:40:36 17 14:40:38 18 14:40:41 19 14:40:46 20 14:40:48 21 14:40:54 22 14:40:59 23 14:41:01 24 14:41:04 25

HOWARD A. HENRY

explaining to me some of the things that they felt they added in addition and some of the wording they felt was changed. It was explained to me

- Q. So this was a meeting between Joanne?
- A. Ms. Joanne Rose and Mr. Walter Wardrop.
 - Q. And who is Joanne --
 - A. And myself.
 - Q. And who is Joanne Rose?
- A. She's a human resources representative.
- Q. Can you tell me whatever else you recall about this meeting?
- A. I explained to them that, you know, this review didn't really reflect the work that I did and that I really need to -- I still haven't gotten an answer as to why this is occurring, and I just wanted -- I just wanted honest answers and that if I can't get them from Walter or I can't get them from Andy that I need to go

HOWARD A. HENRY

12:37:45 2 12:37:47 3

12:37:51 4

12:37:54 5

12:37:57 6

12:38:10 7

12:38:16 8

12:38:19 9

12:38:21 10

12:38:23 11

12:38:25 12

12:38:27 13

12:38:32 14

12:38:34 15

12:38:35 16

12:38:36 17

12:38:37 18

12:38:38 19

12:38:40 20

12:38:46 21

12:38:48 22

12:38:51 23

12:38:53 24

12:38:55 25

other positions that you feel you were denied based on your race or based on complaints that you made or for any other reason that was improper?

- A. There's another position that I talked to Mr. Bigelow -- well, I emailed to Mr. Bigelow about and it was the position of project engineer.
- Q. Okay. If I can stop you there. Is there any other position that you can think of other than the project engineer position?
- A. I mean it's a second project engineer position in 2004.
 - Q. Okay.

A. There was two. There was one that Cara got and there was one that was appointed to a gentleman by the name of Jun Ordonez. So there was another one that came up in 2004. A gentleman by the name of Aladdin Alkawham left the company. I had helped Aladdin with that position. I was previously a project engineer. I

HOWARD A. HENRY

12:38:57 2

12:38:59 3

12:39:02 4

12:39:04 5

12:39:07 6

12:39:09 7

12:39:11 8

12:39:12 9

12:39:14 10

12:39:16 11

12:39:18 12.

12:39:21 13

12:39:24 14

12:39:26 15

12:39:29 16

12:39:31 17

12:39:35 18

12:39:42 19

12:39:43 20

12:39:46 21

12:39:48 22

12:39:51 23

12:39:53 24

12:39:54 25

definitely qualified for it. I

definitely successfully helped the

organization in that role previously,

and I wasn't afforded that opportunity.

- Q. Was this the position in the consumer health care group as the project manager?
- Α. As the -- no. When they restructured the consumer health area there was a position of project engineer that was awarded to Aladdin Alkawham. He left the organization. explained to Mr. Bigelow in an email that he had given me two options, either to take a position available in vaccines or go down to packaging. explained to him that vaccines was an option for me at this time. Michael McDermott was the head of vaccines, I wasn't comfortable with that. the project engineer position was available. At this time I was in limbo. I wasn't told where I would be, who I would be reporting to.

LEGALINK, A MERRILL CORPORATION (800) 325-3376 www.Legalink.com

A CHARMOH

_	HOWARD A. HENRY
12:39:57 2	project engineer position was
12:40:00 3	available. I performed it successfully
12:40:02 4	in the past. I qualified for it. I
12:40:03 5	trained and I spoke to Aladdin Alkawham
12:40:07 6	about a lot of his duties. He got most
12:40:09 7	if not all of the materials from me and
12:40:11 8	I was not given the position. And I
12:40:15 9	feel that's because I complained.
12:40:29 10	MR. McQUADE: Could you mark
12:40:30 11	that, please.

(Henry Exhibit 9 for identification, Bates stamped 1407.)

- Mr. Henry, I've placed in front of you a document marked Exhibit 11 -- excuse me, Exhibit 9. Can you tell me what this document is?
- Α. This is an email addressed to Peter Bigelow complaining of discrimination.
- Q. If you'd look at the second paragraph of this email, does this refer to the position you were just describing?
 - Yes.

12

12:40:52 13

12:40:52 14

12:40:56 15

12:41:01 16

12:41:05 17

12:41:06 18

12:41:08 19

12:41:11 20

12:41:12 21

12:41:14 22

12:41:22 23

12:41:24 24

12:41:26 25

LEGALINK, A MERRILL CORPORATION (800) 325-3376 www.Legalink.com

HOWARD A. HENRY 12:41:27 2 Q. Is this how you expressed 12:41:29 3 interest in this position, through this 12:41:31 4 email? 12:41:31 5 Α. Yes. 12:41:32 6 Did you express any interest 12:41:34 7 in this position other than what's in 12:41:36 8 this email? 12:41:39 9 Α. Yes. 12:41:39 10 Q. · How so? 12:41:40 11 A. I spoke to individuals in 12:41:44 12 the area. 12:41:49 13 Q. Who did you speak to? 12:41:50 14 I can't recall everyone I 12:41:51 15 spoke to. 12:41:52 16 Did you speak to anyone who 12:41:53 17 had authority to hire for this 12:41:55 18 position? 12:41:55 19 I can't recall. 12:42:00 20 Anyone else you spoke to 12:42:01 21 that you can recall? 12:42:03 22 Α. Not at this time. 12:42:08 23 And is there any other way 12:42:13 24 you expressed interest in this position

12:42:19 25

that you can recall at this time?

	1
12:42:19	2
12:42:25	3
12:42:27	4
12:42:34	5
12:42:35	6
12:42:39	7
12:42:41	8
12:42:52	9
12:42:52	10
12:42:54	11
12:42:55	12.
12:42:57	13.
12:42:58	14
12:43:05	15
12:43:05	16
12:43:09	17
12:43:10	18
12:43:13	19
12:43:14	20
12:43:15	21

12:43:16 22

12:43:17 23

12:43:21 24

12:43:23 25

HOWARD A. HENRY

- Α. No.
- Q. Do you know who made the decision with respect to this position?
 - Α. No.
- Q. And who received -- who was hired into this position?
- Α. It was awarded to Jun Ordonez.
- Do you know what Jun Q. Ordonez's race is?
 - A. Philippino.
- Do you know anything about Mr. Ordonez's qualifications for this position?
- He worked as a supervisor in packaging prior to that. And I believe he has a degree in engineering, I believe mechanical.
- Do you believe he was qualified for this position?
- I can't say whether he was or whether he wasn't.
- Would this position have been a promotion for you?

- A. On paper, no. Career-wise, yes.
 - Q. Can you explain that?
- A. On paper, because they wouldn't officially give you a title change, but as far as title, you would officially receive the title and this gives you more exposure and more opportunity if you decided to look within the company or without the confines of the company. So the title itself affords you a lot more exposure and a lot more opportunities.
- Q. It was a lateral move, wasn't it?
- A. It could have been considered that, sure.
- Q. Okay. Are there any other positions that you believe you were denied for retaliatory purposes or discriminatory purposes? You're looking at the complaint; is that correct?
 - A. Yes. Just to make sure I

- 12:43:25 2 12:43:31 3 12:43:31 4
- 12:43:32 5
- 12:43:34 6 12:43:35 7
- 12:43:39 8
- 12:43:41 9
- 12:43:44 10
- 12:43:46 11
- 12:43:47 12
- 12:43:50 13
- 12:43:55 14
- 12:43:58 15
- 12:44:01 16
- 12:44:01 17
- 12:44:02 18
- 12:44:03-19
- 12:44:14 20
- 12:44:15 21
- 12:44:21 22
- 12:44:52 23
- 12:44:54 24
- 12:44:54 25

1 HOWARD A. HENRY 15:05:41 2 and a half later. 15:05:42 3 Q. And what happened? 15:05:43 4 A. He said he wanted to conduct 15:05:46 5 an investigation. 15:05:52 6 Were you involved in any 15:05:53 7 sort of investigation? 15:05:54 8 Α. Yes. 15:05:55 9 Q. How so? 15:05:56 10 I was asked by a gentleman 15:05:59 11 by the name of Gene Sackett a series of 15:06:04 12 questions regarding my statement. 15:06:14 13 Q. This was a meeting between 15:06:15 14 you and Mr. Sackett? 15:06:19 15 Yes. 15:06:20 16 Q. Do you remember when this 15:06:21 17 meeting occurred? 15:06:21 18 It could have been April of 15:06:28 19 2004, April. 15:06:30 20 Was there anyone else 15:06:32 21 present during this meeting? 15:06:33 22 Α. Not at that meeting, no. 15:06:35 23 And can you tell me what you 15:06:37 24 remember telling Mr. Sackett at this 15:06:40 25 meeting?

15:06:41 2 15:06:55 3 15:06:58 4 15:07:01 5 15:07:01 6 15:07:08 7 15:07:12 8 15:07:15 9 15:07:20 10 15:07:28 11 15:07:31 12. 15:07:32 13 15:07:36 14 15:07:37 15 15:07:43 16 15:07:45 17 15:07:46 18 15:07:48 19 15:07:48 20 15:07:49 21 15:07:56 22 15:07:59 23

15:08:04 24

15:08:06 25

- A. I told him that I felt that -- (telephone interruption) excuse me, I beg your pardon. My apologies.
 - Q. That's okay.
- A. Basically we discussed the organizational cascade and I presented him with the documents, my goals and objectives for 2003. We discussed my feelings of why I felt that I was allege -- I was talking about racial discrimination. And I don't recall everything that was said at that kind -- that kind of thing, but that was the general gist of the conversation.
- Q. What did he tell you, if anything? Do you remember him telling you anything, or did he just ask questions?
- A. He asked questions. He did

 tell me that there are packages

 available, you know. He mentioned

 something about a package, about

 something about three months or some

 kind of salary based on for every

1

HOWARD A. HENRY

15:08:09 2

15:08:13 3

15:08:17 4

15:08:19 5

15:08:22 6

15:08:25 7

15:08:28 8

15:08:31 9

15:08:33 10

15:08:35 11

15:08:36 12

15:08:44 13

15:08:46 14

15:08:47 15

15:08:50 16

15:08:52 17

15:08:54 18

15:08:57 19

15:08:59 20

15:09:03 21

15:09:06 22

15:09:09 23

15:09:10 24

15:09:12 25

year's service you get two weeks so in my case it could be about six months.

He mentioned -- he read some of the reviews, these are good reviews, he said these are -- these are very, very good, the past reviews. I didn't have -- I don't think I had a 2003 at the time. I think he made copies of everything and said he would get back to me.

- Q. And what happened next?
- A. That was it. And I didn't hear from him from the date he said he would get back to me. So I emailed him and said you said you were going to get back to me at a certain date, you never did. And he emailed me back stating sorry for any confusion that he may have caused, but basically he's still summing up -- summarizing his findings and he'll give them over to Mr. Bigelow. And I think at the time it was Donna Grantland and they would discuss with me my options.

- Q. When were you advised that you would not have to move into the packaging supervisor position?
- A. It had to be April/May of 2004 I was -- I was -- I was sent an email -- I sent the email -- after I spent -- after I met with Mr. Bigelow and Ms. Grantland I was given an email -- I responded to an email what was discussed and what was discussed is that there was a vaccines position they was going to give me and that there was the packaging supervisor position that they were going to give me. And at that time I emailed him and said these weren't viable options that I mentioned earlier and there was a project engineer position that was available that was a viable option.

THE VIDEO OPERATOR: Mr.

McQuade, I need to change the tape.

MR. McQUADE: Okay. We'll

go off the record.

THE VIDEO OPERATOR: Going

1

15:09:28 3

15:09:29 4

15:09:33 .5

15:09:37 6

15:09:42 7

15:09:44 8

15:09:48 9

15:09:52 10

15:09:54 11

15:09:58 12.

15:10:03 13

15:10:05 14

15:10:07 15

15:10:09 16

15:10:14 17

15:10:16 18

15:10:18 19

15:10:19 20

15:10:21 21

15:10:22 22

15:10:24 23

15:10:26 24

15:10:27 25

1
15:10:27 2
15:10:29 3
15:10:55 4
15:21:27 5
15:21:45 6
15:21:46 7

15:21:53 9

15:21:53 10

15:21:54 11 15:21:55 12

15:22:05 13

15:22:06 14

15:22:08 15

15:22:10 16

15:22:12 17

15:22:19 18

15:22:20 19

20

15:22:30 21

15:22:31 22

15:22:33 23

15:22:34 24

15:22:37 25

HOWARD A. HENRY

off the record at 3:10. This is the end of tape number 2.

(A recess was taken.)

THE VIDEO OPERATOR: Beginning tape number 3 and returning to the record at 3:21 from 3:10.

(Henry Exhibit 20 for identification, Bates stamped 3996.)

- Q. Mr. Henry, before the break you were describing an email that you had written to Mr. Bigelow. I put in front of you a document marked Exhibit 20. There's an email here and then there's a response to that email. If you look to the email on the lower half of the page, does this appear to be the email that you were referring to before the break?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. It refers to the senior validation specialist role. What position was that? That was the position within the vaccine organization?

- :22:38 2 A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. If you'd look at the top of the page, is this the email you received and were informed that you would in fact remain in the position that you were in and would not change to the packaging supervisor position?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. In the packaging supervisor position you would be managing people, wouldn't you?
 - A. Operators.
 - Q. But you'd be supervising people?
 - A. I'd -- yes.
 - Q. So it would be an opportunity to get some experience managing people?
 - A. I did that as an engineer though.
 - Q. But this would give you additional experience doing that; is that correct?
 - A. Perhaps.
- 15:22:38 2 15:22:39 3 15:22:43 4 15:22:46 .5 15:22:52 6 15:22:57 7 15:23:00 8 15:23:03 9 15:23:04 10 15:23:14 11 15:23:17 12 15:23:18 13 15:23:19 14 15:23:21 15 15:23:22 16 15:23:23 17 15:23:24 18 15:23:28 19 15:23:28 20 15:23:30 21 15:23:31 22 15:23:33 23 15:23:35 24 15:23:35 25

1
14:28:59 2
14:29:08 3
14:29:10 4
14:29:10 5
14:29:10 6
14:29:12 7
14:29:12 8
14:29:15 9
14:29:18 10
14:29;22 11
14:29:23 12
14:29:25 13
14:29:29 14
14:29:31 15
14:29:33 16
14:29:35 17
14:29:56 18
14:29:57 19
14:29:59 20
14:29:59 21
14:29:59 22
14:30:29 23
14:30:30 24
14:30:31 25

- Α. Not in those words.
- Did anyone tell you it was a. Q. demotion?
 - Α. Yes.
- Who told you it was a Q. demotion?
- Α. Not -- not to use the exact words, but individuals who work at the site know what it means to go from an engineer to a packaging supervisor. They know what it implies, they know what it means. Everyone knows that that means that this person really. doesn't know what he's doing, he's incompetent.
- Q. You were never in fact placed in that position? You never assumed those job responsibilities or that position?
 - No.
- Q. Okay. Going back to Exhibit -- I don't have the exhibit number, but it's the performance evaluation, Bates number EEOC 0051 in

•	
. 1	HOWARD A. HENRY
15:26:54 2	A. About this, no.
3	(Henry Exhibit 22 for
. 4	identification, Bates stamped 1494 and
15:27:05 5	1495.)
15:27:05 6	Q. Handing you a document
15:27:06 7	that's marked Henry Exhibit 22. Can
15:27:37 8	you tell me what this document is?
15:27:38 9	A. It's a midyear review.
15:27:43 10	Q. And who put this midyear
15:27:46 11	review together?
15:27:47 12	A. Andrew Espejo.
15:27:50 13	Q. Did you meet with him?
15:27:52 14	A. Yes.
15:27:52 15	Q. At about July 9th, 2004
15:27:56 16	about this review?
15:27:57 17	A. I think so, yes.
15:27:58 18	Q. What do you recall from that
15:28:02 19	meeting?
15:28:02 20	A. I recall that he was trying
15:28:05 21	to allege and trying to develop an
15:28:10 22	ideology that I was not doing things on
15:28:14 23	time. So he developed what I and I
. 15:28:18 24	explained to him, I said, you know, it
15:28:21 25	seems to me that all of a sudden

15:28:22 2 15:28:25 3 15:28:28 4 15:28:31 5 15:28:33 6 15:28:38 7 15:28:41 8 15:28:43 9 15:28:46 10 15:28:47 11 15:28:50 12 15:28:52 13 15:28:54 14 15:28:56 15 15:28:57 16 15:28:59 17 15:29:01 18 15:29:04 19 15:29:06 20 15:29:08 21 15:29:10 22 15:29:13 23 15:29:15 24 15:29:17 25

there's a pattern here that people are accusing me of being late. All of a sudden now. I said, you know, I've been working for this company almost nine, ten years at this juncture and I've never been accused of any of these things, now all of a sudden I want to move up and everybody seems to accuse me, there seems to be a pattern going He said no, no, no, no, these are just things that I feel that -- I said I'm not signing this, it does not reflect the truth. So I didn't sign it.

- If you look at the first solid bullet point at the top there are two bullet points under that. One of them says "Missed target date of June 25th, 2004, to schedule meeting with maintenance." Is that true?
- He didn't make himself clear Α. as to exactly what he wanted.
- Did you miss the target date of June 25, 2004?

1

HOWARD A. HENRY

- 15:29:18 2
- 15:29:20 3
- 15:29:22 4
- 15:29:24 5
- 15:29:24 6
- 15:29:26 7
- 15:29:28 8
- 15:29:29 9
- 15:29:30 10
- 15:29:33 11
- 15:29:33 12.
- 15:29:35 13
- 15:29:37 14
- 15:29:39 15
- 15:29:40 16
- 15:29:44 17
- 15:29:44 18
- 15:29:45 19
- 15:29:46 20
- 15:29:49 21
- 15:29:51 22
- 15:29:53 23
- 15:29:54 24
 - 15:29:56 25

- A. He didn't make himself clear about the target date on any of this, that's why I didn't sign it. He didn't make it clear.
- Q. But did you miss a date of June 25th, 2004, to schedule the meeting?
- A. He didn't make it clear this date of June 25th, 2004. That's why I didn't sign it.
 - Q. So it's your position --
- A. I can't say I missed the date because he didn't make it clear of any date like that.
- Q. You're telling me you weren't aware of the fact that June 25th, 2004 was --
- A. I'm telling you that when we had discussions about these maintenance plans, he came from a maintenance area, he wanted me to focus on maintenance things. I said I don't understand because as engineers we're not -- we're not responsible for the actual

1 15:29:57 2 maintenance. We contact -- we contact maintenance for them to take care of 15:29:59 15:30:01 4 the equipment. We tell them what's 15:30:03 5 wrong and they take care of it, but 15:30:05 6 we're not responsible for no 15:30:06 7 maintenance plan and no all these 15:30:09 8 plans, that maintenance takes care of 15:30:11 9 all the planning. I told him that. 15:30:17 10 And you know, when I got 15:30:18 11 when I got to this midyear review I 15:30:19 12 explained to him, I said you didn't 15:30:21 13 really make it clear, he said you 15:30:23 14 didn't really ask. I said well I 15:30:24 15 didn't understand and I asked you, hey, 15:30:27 16 you didn't make this clear to me. 15:30:28 17 that's why I said I can't sign this 15:30:30 18 because this isn't going to reflect 15:30:33 19 exactly how you disseminated the 15:30:35 20 information.

> So you had no knowledge, you're telling me you had no knowledge that your supervisor, Mr. Espejo, had asked you to meet certain, this June 25th, 2004 target date with respect to

15:30:40 22 15:30:41 23 15:30:44 24 15:30:46 25

15:30:38 21

1
15:32:47 2
15:32:48 3
15:32:50 4
15:32:52 5
15:32:53 6
15:32:55 7
15:32:56 8
15:32:58 9
15:32:59 10
15:33:00 11
15:33:02 12.
13
14
15:33:33 15
15:33:33 16
15:33:34 17
15:34:15 18
15:34:18 19
15:34:19 20
15:34:22 21
15:34:25 22
15:34:26 23
15:34:27 24

15:34:31 25

HOWARD A. HENRY

- A. I remember we discussed about Microsoft Project and I said I would take it, I took the course.
 - Q. Do you remember anything else that you discussed with Mr. Espejo about this?
 - A. I told him that I couldn't sign the document because I didn't agree with it.
 - Q. What was his response?
 - A. Okay.

(Henry Exhibit 23 for identification, Bates stamped D 00170 through 173.)

- Q. I pass you a document that's been marked Henry Exhibit 23. This document is a performance appraisal, it looks like it's covering the time January 1, 2004 through December 31st, 2004; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And this was prepared by your supervisor, Andrew Espejo; is that correct?

15:35:14 25

HOWARD A. HENRY 15:34:31 2 Α. Yes. 15:34:32 3 Q. Did you meet with Mr. 15:34:34 4 Espejo --15:34:35 5 Α. Yes. 15:34:35 6 Q. -- in connection with this 15:34:36 7 performance review? 15:34:38 8 Were you provided a copy of 15:34:39 9 the performance review during that 15:34:40 10 meeting? 15:34:41 11 I don't recall. 15:34:42 12 Q. Did you discuss -- did Mr. 15:34:46 13 Espejo read this performance review to 15:34:48 14 you? 15:34:48 15 I think so: 15:34:52 16 Did you have any discussion 15:34:55 17 with him about any of the information 15:34:58 18 provided in this performance review? 15:35:00 19 Α. Can you repeat that for me, 15:35:06 20 I'm sorry. I was just focusing on 15:35:09 21 something. 15:35:09 22 Did you have any discussions 15:35:10 23 with him about any of the information 15:35:12 24 provided in this performance review?

Α.

Yes.

223

	-
	1
15:35:15	2
15:35:17	3
15:35:20	4
15:35:23	_. 5
15:35:25	6
15:35:26	7
15:35:31	8
15:35:33	9
15:35:37	10
15:35:38	11
15:35:42	12.
15:35:46	13
15:35:54	14
15:35:56	15
15:35:59	16
15:36:00	17
15:36:04	18
15:36:06	19
15:36:09	20
15:36:12	21 ,
15:36:16	22
15;36:18	23
15:36:20	24

15:36:23 25

HOWARD A. HENRY

- What did you discuss? Q.
- I said that he didn't capture a key element, something that occurred during that year.
 - Q. And what was that?
- The continuous coater single pass project leadership that I provided that year.
 - Q . . And what was that?
- They couldn't -- they had a tremendous amount of trouble getting Centrum to -- how shall I say? -- to be coated in a single pass application. They had tremendous amount of trouble. They couldn't get it done for approximately nine or ten months. technical service department tried and they had vendors try and no one can do it. And corporate was looking specifically at us because part of the reason why they approved this instrument is to have it perform this particular function, and I was instrumental on making that happen.

- Q. And you felt it should have been reflected or stated in this review?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And it wasn't?
- A. Not to the level that it needed to be, no.
- Q. Do you recall Mr. Espejo discussing anything about you needing to improve setting objective dates for commitments and meeting those commitments on a consistent basis?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. What did he tell you about that?
- A. He just said that, you know, as far as he's concerned that, you know, I need to firm up certain dates, and I told him, I said when you collaborate with people there are a lot of issues that occur for one not to -- to have -- to afford an extension, and he said, you're right, I have extensions myself and, you know, but I
- 1 15:36:28 2 15:36:30 3 15:36:31 4 15:36:31 5 15:36:32 6 15:36:33 7 15:36:36 8 15:36:38 9 15:36:43 10 15:36:46 11 15:36:52 12 15:36:54 13 15:36:57 14 15:37:00 15 15:37:01 16 15:37:01 17 15:37:05 18 15:37:08-19 15:37:13 20 15:37:16 21 15:37:18 22

15:37:21 23

15:37:25 24

15:37:27 25

1 15:37:29 2 15:37:31 3 15:37:34 4 15:37:35 5 15:37:36 6 15:37:37 7 15:37:37 8 15:37:39 9 15:37:40 10 15:37:41 11 15:37:43 12 15:37:44 13 15:37:46 14 15:37:48 15 15:37:50 16 15:37:53 17 15:37:57 18 15:37:59-19 15:38:01 20 15:38:03 21

HOWARD A. HENRY

would like for you -- do your best to firm up certain dates. I said I'll do my best.

- Q. Did you have any disagreement with this review?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. What were your disagreements?
- A. He didn't capture a lot of the things that I did during the course of that year.
- Q Anything else you disagreed about?
- A. I mean I told him that I couldn't sign it because, you know, I just didn't agree. You know, I felt that the work that I did was critical to the organization and that it warranted a five because if it didn't get done we couldn't coat Centrum at the rate, at the speed that we were coating Centrum and probably we would be held, you know, accountable for that as a site. So I was key to making sure

15:38:15 25

15:38:09 22

15:38:11 23

15:38:13 24

1	ł
15:38:19 2	
15:38:21 3	
15:38:30 4	
15:38:31 5	
15:38:34 6	
15:38:35 7	
. 8	
9	
15:39:37 10	
15:39:37 11	
15:39:39 12	
15:39:42 13	
15:39:43 14	۱
15:39:43 15	
15:39:46 16	
15:39:47 17	
15:39:50 18	
15:39:55 19	
15:39:58 20	
15:40:02 21	
15:40:03 22	
15:40:04 23	Î
15:40:05 24	
15:40:06 25	

that that occurred, that it happened.

- Q. Is there anything else you recall about your conversation with Mr. Espejo in connection with this review?
 - A. Not at this time.
 - Q. Okay.

(Henry Exhibit 24 for identification, Bates stamped 3765 through 3768.)

- Q. Mr. Henry, I've handed you a document that's marked Henry Exhibit
 24. Have you seen this document before?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What is it?
- A. It's some feedback that I got, the performance, 2005 performance feedback.
- Q. And who provided you this feedback?
 - A. Max Katz.
- Q. Who was Max Katz, can you tell me again?
 - A. He was the person I reported

to at the time.

- Q. So at this point your reporting line had changed, you were no longer reporting to Mr. Espejo, but instead at this time, May 31st, 2005, you're reporting to Mr. Katz?
 - A. Right.
- Q. How long had you been reporting to Mr. Katz as of this date?
- A. A full five -- according to this, a full five months.
- Q. Okay. So that's the January 3, 2005 through June 14th, 2005 review period?
 - A. Rights.
- Q. Did you meet with Mr. Katz in connection with this review?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did he give you a copy of the review at the meeting?
 - A. I don't recall.
- Q. Did he read the review to you?
 - A. I believe so.

1
15:40:07 2
15:40:08 3
15:40:10 4
15:40:12 5
15:40:14 6
15:40:19 7
15:40:20 8

15:40:20 9 15:40:31 10

15:40:38 12

15:40:35 11

15:40:40 13

15:40:42 14

15:40:47 15

15:40:50 16

15:40:51 17

15:41:02 18

15:41:03-19

15:41:04 20

15:41:06 21

15:41:08 22

15:41:08 23

15:41:11 24

15:41:11 25

- Q. Did he give you a copy at the end of the meeting?
- A. I don't recall. He may have. I don't remember.
- Q. Well I can represent to you that your attorney produced this document to us.
 - A. Right.
 - Q. If that --
- A. He may not have given it to me at the meeting, he may have given it to me later on. I don't remember the exact way I got it.
- Q. Okay. This review form appears a little different than the other ones we have reviewed today.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you have any idea -- do you know why this performance feedback document is slightly different than the others?
- A. I guess they just changed the structure of it.
 - Q. Okay. What was your general

- 15:41:14
- 15:41:15 3
- 15:41:16 4
- 15:41:21 .5
- 15:41:22 6
- 15:41:26 7
- 15:41:29 8
- 15:41:30 9
- 15:41:31 10
- 15:41:32 11
- 15:41:34 12.
- 15:41:37 13
- 15:41:39 14
- 15:41:41 15
- 15:41:45 16
- 15:41:47 17
- 15:41:49 18
- 15:41:50 19
- 15:41:56 20
- 15:41:58 21
- 15:41:59 22
- 15:41:59 23
- 15:42:01 24
- 15:42:02 25

1

HOWARD A. HENRY

15:42:11 2

15:42:12 3

15:42:15 4

15:42:19 5

15:42:20 6

15:42:22 7

15:42:25 8

15:42:28 9

15:42:29 10

15:42:31 11

15:42:32 12

15:42:34 13

15:42:37 14

15:42:39 15

15:42:40 16

15:42:42 17

15:42:42 18

15:42:43 19

15:42:49 20

15:42:50 21

15:42:54 22

15:42:59 23

15:43:01 24

15:43:03 25

impression of this feedback contained
in this performance feedback document?

- A. It just tried -- it tried to paint me as a person who didn't do things in a timely fashion, didn't do things on time.
- Q. And you believed that was not accurate?
 - A. Not a hundred percent.
- Q. Not a hundred percent. So you believe it's somewhat accurate?
- A. I mean I wouldn't say -- I don't think it was accurate. That's why I didn't sign it.
- Q. Well let's go through item by item.
 - A. Sure.
- Q. The first item, "Provide the necessary support to the conversion cost reduction project to ensure an 8 percent reduction." The "Behind pace needs improvement" box is checked there. And there's a comment "Provide a project timeline for improvement

15:43:08 2 15:43:10 3 15:43:15 4 15:43:17 5 15:43:19 6 15:43:19 7 15:43:29 8 15:43:34 9 15:43:36 10 15:43:40 11 15:43:45 12. 15:43:45 13 15:43:46 14 15:43:56 15 15:44:00 16 15:44:04 17 15:44:07 18 15:44:10 19 15:44:15 20 15:44:18 21 15:44:20 22

15:44:21 23

15:44:22 24

15:44:23 25

projects to manager by July 29th,
2005." Did you do that, did you submit
the project timeline for improvement
projects by July 29th, 2005?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Manufacture variances in the left-hand column, the review indicates that you had unacceptable results and that corrective action is required. Do you remember discussing this with Mr. Katz?
 - A. No.
- D. A few lines down under the box "Quality mindset," to the far right there's the comment "PMO turnaround as discussed earlier on March 30th, 2005.

 PMOs from November and December were not signed off on until March." Is that accurate, that the PMOs from November and December were not signed off on until March?
 - A. Right.
- Q. And would you agree with the statement that this put the department

at serious compliance risk with conformance standard 12-4-3-1?

- A. I didn't understand that statement a hundred percent at the time.
- Q. What did you think it meant at the time, or now?
- Α. Well he explained to me that PMOs not being signed off put the department at compliance risk and I said well part of the reason why they're not signed off is because I'm given them late or they're not given to me in my box at a certain time, and I find errors on the documents that need to be reviewed with the individual who gave them to me, and sometimes these people are not available. He said okay, what we're going to do, we're going to create a spreadsheet so that we can track when you get them, who gives them to you and when they're signed. I said okay.

Because I told him in the

1 15:44:25 2 15:44:28 3 15:44:33 4 15:44:35 5 15:44:37 6 15:44:37 7 15:44:41 8 15:44:42 9 15:44:45 10 15:44:48 11 15:44:49 12 15:44:51 13 15:44:54 14 15:44:56 15 15:44:59 16 15:45:01 17 15:45:03 18 15:45:05 19 15:45:07 20 15:45:09 21 15:45:12 22 15:45:14 23

15:45:16 24

15:45:18 25

past this is something that occurs, has occurred in the past and that usually, you know, to help maintenance I wouldn't say anything, but I would review them as quickly as I could so that we could, you know, have the documents back to them.

So in essence, I created the spreadsheet and we were able to track it better.

- Q. A couple of boxes down,
 "Weekly zone checklists were not
 submitted in a timely fashion. No.
 follow-up on missing zone checklists,
 resulting in ERF." What does ERF stand
 for?
- A. Error -- error reduction form or error recording form, I think.
- Q. Is that accurate, that the weekly zone checklists were not being submitted in a timely fashion?
 - A. Right.
 - Q. That is accurate?
 - A. Yes.

15:45:31 7 15:45:34 8 15:45:35 9 15:45:40 10 15:45:42 11

15:45:19 2

15:45:24 3

15:45:26 4

15:45:27 .5

15:45:29 6

15:45:43 12

15:45:47 13 15:45:49 14

15:45:51 15

15:45:53 16

15:45:57 17

10.40.01 11

15:45:57 18

15:46:00 19

15:46:02 20

15:46:04 21

15:46:06 22

15:46:07 23

15:46:08 24

15:46:08 25

- Q. So you would agree that corrective action was required with respect to the weekly zone checklists, would you not?
- A. Well we discussed that the supervisors needed to fill out the documents better and that the department as a whole needed to have a better way of handling these zone checklists because other trains had the same problem too. So it was a departmental problem.
- Q. On the second page of this document under Wyeth, the heading "Wyeth values, quality," if you look to the far right it says "PMO turnaround as discussed earlier. PMOs from November and December were not signed off on until March."
- A. I don't know where you are. What page, sorry? Is it the second page?
 - Q. Yes, the second page.
 - A. The quality box?

15:46:09 2

1

- 15:46:14 3
- 15:46:16 4
- 15:46:18 5
- 15:46:18 6
- 15:46:20 7
- 15:46:22 8
- 15:46:27 9
- 15:46:30 10
- 15:46:33 11
- 15:46:37 12
- 15:46:41 13
- 15:46:56 14
- 15:46:57 15
- 15:47:01 16
- 15:47:03 17
- 15:47:06 18
- 15:47:08 19
- 15:47:10 20
- 15:47:14 21
- 15:47:16 22
- 15:47:18 23
- 15:47:19 24
- 15:47:23 25

15:47:25 2 15:47:25 3 15:47:26 4 15:47:27 5 15:47:27 6 15:47:29 7 15:47:32 8 15:47:36 9 15:47:37 10 15:47:39 11 15:47:42 12 15:47:44 13 15:47:45 14 15:47:47 15 15:47:49 16 15:47:53 17 15:47:55 18 15:47:57 19 15:48:00 20 15:48:08 21 15:48:09 22 15:48:11 23 .15:48:22 24 15:48:26 25

HOWARD A. HENRY

- Q. Yes.
- A. Okay.
- Q. To the far right?
- A. Yes.
- Q. There's a discussion there again about PMOs and the weekly zone checklists again.
- A. Yes, same response as I gave earlier. We discussed it and I said I would start tracking it so you can see where the source of the problem is coming from. Because I explained to him my position.
- Q. If you look at the next box down under "Respect for people," "Your reaction and responses to receiving constructive criticism from your manager have not demonstrated Wyeth's quality of respect for people." Did you have a discussion about that?
- A. No. I mean not that I can recall.
- Q. Did Mr. Katz discuss with you your reactions to his constructive

15:48:28 2 criticism of you?

- A. Yes. I mean he just basically said that -- I'm trying to recall.
- Q. Were you finding it difficult reporting to Mr. Katz?
 - A. At times.
- Q. Did that have anything to do with the fact that Mr. Katz was promoted to that position --
 - A. I think --
- Q. The position you had applied for?
- A. I really think that he was real green in the position, and he was, he explained to me he was new at it and he needed to do some maturing himself within the position. So he said he doesn't know whether it's him or whether it's me, but I said I think that, you know, we just need to just treat each other cordially.
- Q. Did you do that, did you have a cordial relationship with Mr.

15:48:43 6

15:48:41 5

15:48:30 3

15:48:36 4

- 15:48:44 7
- 15:48:45 8
- 15:48:47 9
- 15:48:48 10
- 15:48:51 11
- 15:48:55 12
- 15:48:56 13
- 15:48:57 14
- 15:48:57 15
- 15:48:58 16
- 15:49:05 17
- 15:49:09 18
- 15:49:11 19
- 15:49:13 20
- 15:49:14 21
- 15:49:18 22
- 15:49:20 23
- 15:49:24 24
- 15:49:26 25

15:49:27 2 15:49:27 3 15:49:30 4 15:49:32 45 15:49:32 6 15:49:44 7 15:49:46 8 15:49:48 9 15:49:55 10 15:50:10 11 15:50:12 12. 15:50:12 13 15:50:14 14 15:50:15 15 15:50:18 16 15:50:24 17 15:50:26 18 15:50:28 19 15:50:33 20 15:50:36 21 15:50:37 22 15:50:41 23 15:50:42 24

15:50:47 25

HOWARD A. HENRY

Katz?

- A. I tried to. I tried to.
- Q. Do you think he tried as well?
- A. As far as I can see. As far as I can see. I don't think anything
 -- I don't think that, you know, I can say anything otherwise at this point ostensibly.
- Q. And you refused to sign this review; is that right?
 - A. Right.
- Q. Did you state your reason why you didn't want to sign the review?
- A. I don't know if I did at that time. But I just felt like they were trying to paint me in a way that was not accurate.
 - Q. Who's they? You say they.
 - A. Upper management.
 - Q. Well it was Mr. Katz that --
- A. But he got a lot -- he got feedback from Mr. Espejo too.
 - Q. So when you say they, you're

15:53:43 2 15:53:44 3 15:53:49 4 15:53:50 5 15:54:00 6 15:54:03 7 15:54:04 8 15:54:06 9 15:54:11 10 15:54:16 11 15:54:17 12. 15:54:20 13 15:54:23 14 15:54:26 15 15:54:30 16 15:54:34 17 15:54:35 18 15:54:42 19 15:54:47 20 15:54:49 21 15:54:49 22 15:54:51 23 15:54:51 24 15:54:52 25

HOWARD A. HENRY

If we could start with Exhibit 25.

- A. Okay.
- Q. Was this document presented to you at a meeting on June 24th, 2005?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And who was at that meeting?
- A. Stacey Marasco, she's a human resources person, and Max Katz.
- Q. Can you tell me what happened at that meeting?
- A. Succinctly stated, I was being placed in the PIP. Didn't understand why, explained my concern and explained my disagreement with it. They read to me the statement of concerns and I said that I would have to read it and respond, but I definitely didn't agree with how it was being conducted.
- Q. Was the document read to you at this meeting?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And then you were provided a

copy?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Were you asked to sign it at the meeting?
- A. I don't recall whether I was asked to sign it at the meeting. I don't think I was asked.
- Q. Okay. If you look at Page 3 under "Employee comments," is that, under employee's signature, is that your signature?
- A. Which document are you looking at, 25 you said?
- Q Exhibit 25, the first page of it is Bates stamped 3720.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And looking at the bottom of Page 3, which is Bates stamped 3722 there's a line for employee's signature?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Is that your signature?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And it's dated June 27th,
- 15:54:54 2 15:54:55 3 15:54:55 4 15:54:57 5 15:54:57 6 15:55:01 7 15:55:06 8 15:55:06 9 15:55:08 10 15:55:17 11 15:55:19 12 15:55:24 13 15:55:25 14 15:55:27 15 15:55:28 16 15:55:32 17 15:55:35 18 15:55:37 19 15:55:39 20 15:55:41 21 15:55:42 22 15:55:42 23 15:55:43 24

15:55:44 25

15:55:46 2 2005?

15:55:46 3

15:55:50 4

15:55:57 5

15:56:09 6

15:56:11 7

15:56:13 8

15:56:15 9

15:56:17 10

15:56:20 11

15:56:24 12

15:56:25 13

15:56:28 14

15:56:28 15

15:56:29 16

15:56:31 17

15:56:32 18

15:56:35 19

15:56:38 20

15:56:52 21

15:56:54 22

15:56:54 23

15:56:56 24

15:56:57 25

A. Yes. So I think I may have

signed it soon thereafter, I think.

Q. Okay. Do you remember anything else that occurred during the meeting? This document was read to you, you said you disagreed, anything else?

A. I was told that with a PIP,
I was told by Ms. Stacey Marasco that I
couldn't bid on a job for a year.

- Q. She told you that at this meeting?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Anything else you remember?
- A. That if my performance doesn't improve I can be placed on probation which can lead -- up to and including termination.
- Q. Anything else you can recall?
 - A. Not at this time.
- Q. Okay. Looking at the statement of concerns.

- A. On 25?
- Q. Yes, Exhibit 25. The first sentence says, "My concern is that you've repeatedly failed to meet deadlines and complete tasks in a timely manner"; isn't that correct?

 And then it lists seven items. The first item is the PMO reviews. These PMO reviews had been previously discussed with you; isn't that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. They were the subject of that May 2004 performance feedback document that we discussed earlier; isn't that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Jumping down to item number

 3, it says, "On February 3rd, 2005, I

 sent you an email asking you to prepare

 and submit a timeline for completion of

 work regarding continuous coaters. I

 did not receive a response or timeline

 from you." Is that accurate?
 - A. No.

15:57:09 4 15:57:11 5 15:57:13 6 15:57:15 7 15:57:19 8

15:57:04 2

15:57:05 3

15:57:33 11

15:57:21 9

15:57:31 10

- 15:57:35 12
- 15:57:36 13
- 15:57:43 14
- 15:57:45 15
- 15:57:47 16

17

- 15:57:54 18
- 15:57:56 19
- 15:57:58 20
- 15:58:00 21
- 15:58:02 22
- 15:58:07 23
- 15:58:09 24
- 15:58:11 25

Q. How is it not accurate?

A. Sometimes we would have conversations, and you couldn't -- sometimes you wouldn't respond to every email, you would have conversations.

So most of the communication that occurs due to the nature of the area occurs in verbal discussions.

Q. Okay. As of June 24th,
2005, had you submitted to Mr. Katz a
timeline for completion of work
regarding continuous coaters? Yes or
no?

A. I don't recall.

Q. Okay. Moving down to number 4, "On February 9th, 2005, I sent you an email requesting you to provide me a timeline by February 16th, 2005 of steps you were taking to resolve an issue regarding percent loss/percent gain, MIRs. I did not receive a response or timeline from you." Is it true you did not submit a timeline to Mr. Katz?

15:58:15 2 15:58:17 3 15:58:19 4 15:58:20 5 15:58:21 6 15:58:23 7 15:58:31 8 15:58:33 9 15:58:40 10 15:58:43 11 15:58:46 12 15:58:48 13 15:58:50 14 15:58:51 15 15:58:54 16 15:58:56 17 15:59:01 18 15:59:03 19 15:59:07 20 15:59:08 21 15:59:11 22 15:59:15 23 15:59:22 24 15:59:25 25

- A. I don't recall. I mean I believe that we had a discussion and I explained to him discussion what was going to take place.
- Q. And what was the discussion about the timeline?
- A. You know, I told him, I said, you know, basically, it was either in an email or discussion where I told him that these are the steps that we're going to take, this is what we're working on now. So I didn't know that I was being scrutinized like this until I received this document.

So he made me aware this way that he was scrutinizing me and wanted me to answer and respond to each individual email in a formal manner.

And there's a lot of informal conversations that occurred to answer a lot of these questions on this document.

Q. A timeline is a fairly formal thing though, correct?

16:00:29 2 16:00:30 3 16:0.0:33 4 16:00:34 5 16:00:35 6 16:00:36 7 16:00:38 8 16:00:40 9 16:00:40 10 16:00:42 11 16:00:43 12 16:00:47 13 16:00:55 14 16:00:57 15 16:00:59 16 16:01:01 17 16:01:03 18 16:01:04 19 16:01:09 20 16:01:10 21 16:01:12 22 16:01:16 23 16:01:16 24 16:01:19 25

HOWARD A. HENRY

- A. Not in our area.
- Q. It contemplates a written product?
- A. Not in our area it doesn't mean that, not necessarily.
- Q. Well did you interpret it to mean anything other than a written timeline?
- A. I interpreted it to mean that I need to discuss with him a timeline, a time frame, if you will. So we discussed it. I mean to me he didn't explain to me where's the timeline, Howard, where's the timeline. It didn't go like that. I told him, he said oh, okay, I got you.
- Q. He said he asked you to prepare and submit which suggests --
 - A. It suggests --
- Q. That would be a written product, to prepare and submit a written timeline?
- A. To me, like we give each other, we have conversations and we

1

HOWARD A. HENRY

16:01:22 2 16:01:25 3 16:01:27 4 16:01:30 5 16:01:33 6 16:01:35 7 16:01:37 8 16:01:40 9 16:01:46 10 16:02:07 11 16:02:08 12 16:02:09 13 16:02:12 14 16:02:15 15 16:02:32 16 16:02:33 17 16:02:36 18 16:02:45 19 16:02:47 20 16:02:47 21 16:02:48 22 16:02:50 23 16:02:52 24

16:02:57 25

discuss things that, due dates on things, and we discuss things. And sometimes timelines are established through conversation. I say I contacted the vendor this day, he should be coming on Monday, it should be done by the 21st, you got any other questions, no; moving right along.

- Q. Item number 6, do you recall discussing item number 6?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Is there anything in this item 6 that is not accurate?
- A. The part where it says, "It was your responsibility to get the document into GX Pharma in time for the item to be completed by the deadline."
- Q. What's inaccurate about that statement?
- A. When this particular item was introduced it wasn't given to me as an item that was my responsibility, it was someone else's responsibility. So at the eleventh hour it was given to

16:02:59 2 me.

16:03:00 3

16:03:01 4

16:03:02 5

16:03:04 6

16:03:08 7

16:03:13 8

16:03:15 9

16:03:16 10

16:03:17 11

16:03:19 12.

16:03:21 13

16:03:24 14

16:03:26 15

16:03:28 16

16:03:29 17

16:03:30 18

16:03:30 19

16:03:31 20

16:03:33 21

16:03:34 22

16:03:34 23

16:03:37 24

16:03:40 25

- Q. The eleventh hour being May 19th, 2005?
- A. Meaning May 19th and it was due June 3rd. So this actual item was created early part of May and it was given to me to complete within a week.
 - Q. So this --
 - A. Or two.
- Q. So this statement, "It was your responsibility to get the document into GX Pharma in time for the item to be completed by the deadline" --
- A. Was not true at the initial start of the item.
- Q. But it's true as of May 19th?
 - A. May 19.
- Q. -- 2005 it was your responsibility?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Item number 7, "On June 6th, 2005, I sent you an email to proceduralize the occurrence of a

	1
16:03:41	2
16:03:44	З
16:03:48	4
16:03:51	5
16:03:58	6
16:04:00	7
16:04:20	8 .
16:04:23	9
16:04:25	10
16:04:27	11
16:04:29	12
16:04:31	13
16:04:33	14
16:04:35	15
16:04:38	16
16:04:42	17
16:04:45	18
16:04:47	19
16:04:48	20
16:04:50	21
16:04:52	22
16:04:58	23
16:05:01	24

16:05:14 25

broken tool in an effort to reduce MIRs with a due date of June 27th, 2005. As of June 21st, 2005 you haven't opened the email." Is there anything that is not accurate about this statement?

- A. I don't -- I don't know. I mean I don't know if I opened it the early -- the day before June or the day of June 21st, I'm not sure.
- Q. The final sentence on the page reads "You have quadrupled the number of absences, not including vacation days taken, than any other employee in the department and you often call out with very little or no notice which greatly impacts the business's ability to operate smoothly." Is there anything inaccurate about that statement?
- A. I always give notice, so that's not true. I've always given notice.
 - Q. Anything else?
 - A. Not that I can see at this

16:05:28 2 time.

16:05:28 3

16:05:30 4

16:05:33 5

16:05:45 6

16:05:47 7

16:05:49 8

16:05:53 9

16:05:59 10

16:06:03 11

16:06:03 12.

16:06:04 13

16:06:09 14

16:06:11 15

16:06:15 16

16:06:18 17

16:06:20 18

16:06:22 19

16:06:25 20

16:06:28 21

16:06:31 22

16:06:35 23

16:06:38 24

16:06:43 25

- Q. Okay. If you turn the page to Page 2, dates of previous discussions, January 12th, 2005, it talks about the performance appraisal. It also talks about a March -- it also refers to a March 15th, 2005 email from Andrew Espejo and a March 30th, 2005 discussion regarding PMOs. Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did each of those discussions in fact occur?
- A. We discussed items but not exactly the way in which this alludes to.
 - Q. Can you elaborate on that?
- A. I discussed a progress
 review with Andrew Espejo on January
 12th but I did not agree with the
 assessment about the objective and how
 he came to his conclusion. I did
 discuss zone checklists with Andrew
 Espejo on March 15th, and I explained

16:06:46 2 16:06:50 3 16:06:52 4 16:06:54 5 16:06:58 6 16:07:01 7 16:07:04 8 16:07:08 9 16:07:14 10 16:07:17 11 16:07:18 12 16:07:22 13 16:07:24 14 16:07:26 15 16:07:27 16 16:07:30 17 16:07:33 18 16:07:35-19 16:07:42 20 16:07:44 21 16:07:47 22 16:07:56 23 16:07:58 24

16:07:58 25

regarding with the supervisors and some issues with the zone checklists. And that train, the other train next to ours, PPU 2, had the same issues. So that singling me out was not -- and he didn't -- he didn't present to me that this particular problem would issue and warrant a PIP.

And finally as far as discussion regarding the PMO process and Max Katz and I ended it with the decision to track PMO signing off and that all these discussions were innocuous as far as I was concerned and didn't -- I didn't foresee them bringing a culmination to this particular PIP document.

- Q. The improvement expectations listed, down below there are four items. Did you believe that each of these items was attainable by you?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Which item did you think was

250

1

HOWARD A. HENRY

1	б	:	9.0	:	00	2
---	---	---	-----	---	----	---

16:08:01 3

16:08:06 4

16:08:09 5

16:08:12 6

16:08:15 7

16:08:17 8

16:08:19 9

16:08:23 10

16:08:25 11

16:08:27 12

16:08:29 13

16:08:33 14

16:08:34 15

16:08:36 16

16:08:38 17

16:08:41 18

16:08:42 19

16:08:48 20

16:08:50 21

16:08:52 22

16:08:54 23

16:08:57 24

16:09:00 25

not attainable?

A. Properly respond to PMOS within two business days of receipt.

Sometimes when you get a PMO the PMO may be a real thick document that must be gone through line by line with the mechanic. So sometimes that can't be done within two days of receipt. And if you get it late in the day that's considered a full day and then the next day you only have one day to review it, so.

The zone checklist, that was contingent upon the supervisors and I explained to them that the supervisor have to be on board because they're responsible for getting to me at a certain time. I told him I would send out emails to remind people but I can't be held accountable for these zone checklists and when people go on vacation they don't let me know.

As far as completing all the signings in a timely manner, I've done

16:09:01 2

16:09:04 3

16:09:05 4

16:09:06 5

16:09:09 6

16:09:12 7

16:09:14 8

16:09:16 9

16:09:19 10

16:09:21 11

16:09:23 12

16:09:26 13

16:09:29 14

16:09:31 15

16:09:44 16

16:09:45 17

16:09:51 18

16:09:54 19

16:09:57 20

16:10:06 21

16:10:09 22

16:10:16 23

16:10:19 24

16:10:20 25

HOWARD A. HENRY

that and I've continued to do that. I didn't agree with that particular aspect of the review.

And as far as unscheduled absences, I told him that the specifitudes of life warrant one to take time and accidents do happen and things happen and come up at the last minute, and as professionals we're afforded this leeway, and throughout my career I've never taken advantage of it, but I've had to use it and we're afforded the opportunity to use it, so I've expressed that to them.

- Q. Okay. If you look at the bottom of that page, review sessions, it indicates that there would be review dates on July 6th, July 14th and July 25th, 2005. Did those meetings or review sessions in fact occur?
- A. I recall two review sessions after that first meeting on June 24th.
- Q. Okay. If you could turn your attention to Exhibit 26, Page 3.

If you look under employee comments it looks like on this document we now have signatures all the way around dated. June 29th except under employee's signature. If I can read this writing properly it says "Howard did not want to sign and asked for a couple days to have it reviewed. As of July 29th, 2005 he responded he's drafting a response to be submitted by July 1st, 2005." Do you recall saying that you wouldn't be signing this document but instead would be submitting some type of response?

A. I recall saying that I need time -- I didn't say that I would not sign, I don't recall saying I did not -- I would not sign. I recall saying that I need to review it and I remember submitting the other document, Exhibit 25, and signing that and putting that comment on there. But I don't remember stating exactly how it said here. But I did -- I didn't -- I

16:10:38 2 16:10:40 3 16:10:43 4

16:10:45 5

16:10:49 6

16:10:57 7

16:10:59 8

16:11:02 9

16:11:05 10

16:11:07 11

16:11:11 12

16:11:16 13

16:11:18 14

16:11:19 15

16:11:20 16

16:11:23 17

16:11:25 18

16:11:27 19

16:11:29 20

16:11:34 21

16:11:36 22

16:11:38 23

16:11:44 24

16:11:46 25

don't remember saying that I wouldn't sign it. I remember saying that I needed time to draft a response.

(Henry Exhibit 27 for identification, Bates stamped 3772 and 3773.)

(Henry Exhibit 28 for identification, Bates stamped 3724 and 3726.)

Mr. Henry, I've put in front of you two documents. One is marked Exhibit 28. Exhibit 28 will be coming momentarily. There you go. If you can turn your attention to Exhibit 27, which is Bates stamped 3772, it's dated June 27th, 2005, and the subject line is "Rebuttal to PIP program presented on June 24th, 2005." This document is not signed. It was not produced to us on letterhead as was Exhibit 28. Do you know what this document is? this the final document or was this a draft, do you know?

I don't -- I don't -- could

16:11:48 2 16:11:49 3 16:11:56 4 16:12:46 10 16:12:46 11 16:12:47 12 16:12:49 13 16:13:01 14 16:13:03 15

: 5

7

8

16:13:30 21 16:13:33 22 16:13:35 23 . 16:13:37 24

16:13:38 25

16:13:04 16

16:13:13 17

16:13:15 18

16:13:18 19

16:13:25 20

	1
16:22:50	2
16:22:53	3
16:22:55	4
16:22:57	_: 5
16:22:59	6
16:23:02	7
16:23:04	8
16:23:05	9
16:23:07	10
16:23:09	11
16:23:13	12.
16:23:14	13
16:23:17	14
16:23:20	15
16:23:24	16
16:23:25	17
16:23:30	18
16:23:36	19
16:23:40	20
16:23:42	21
16:23:44	22
16;23:51	23

16:23:54 24

16:23:57 25

Andrew in a specific time, I told them yes. Max said he would set up a meeting between maintenance about how to best handle the PMOs because he wanted to stamp them and I told him you... can't stamp an official company document like that without the first approval of maintenance. He said he would -- he would make up -- he would make sure he would set up a meeting.

And it was a very brief meeting. I expressed again that this PIP was unwarranted.

And that was about all I could remember at this time.

- ο. Did Ms. Marasco tell you that the PIP was not a disciplinary tool, but instead a tool for corrective action?
 - She did say that.
- When was -- and then you had another meeting, correct, regarding the PIP, in this PIP review process?
 - Yes.

person from now on.

- Q. Were you told at this meeting that you'd satisfied the requirements of the PIP?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Were you told that you'd be removed from the PIP?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Were you told that -- do you remember being told anything else?
- A. That I'd have to sustain a certain level of compliance, if you will, certain level of performance or I can be placed back on it, and it can lead to termination.

(Henry Exhibit 29 for identification, Bates stamped 3727.)

- Q. Mr. Henry, I've put in front of you a document that's been marked Henry Exhibit 29. It appears to be a letter from Mr. Katz dated July 28th, 2005. Do you recall receiving this letter?
 - A. Yes.

1 16:26:02 2 16:26:04 3 16:26:05 4 16:26:07 5 16:26:07 6 16:26:08 7 16:26:09 8 16:26:10 9 16:26:10 10 16:26:21 11 16:26:22 12 16:26:25 13 16:26:28.14 16:26:33 15 16:26:36 16 17 16:27:15 18 16:27:15 19 16:27:17 20 16:27:19 21 16:27:25 22 16:27:27 23 16:27:29 24

16:27:29 25

.

- Q. And this letter notified you that you'd been removed from the PIP?
 - Α. Yes.
- Did you have any further Q. discussions with Mr. Katz, Mr. Espejo or Mr. -- or Ms. Marasco regarding the PIP?
 - Not that I can recall. Α.
- Did you have any discussions with anyone else other than your counsel regarding the PIP?
- . I mentioned two individuals Α. before.
- Right. Other than those Ο. individuals?
 - Not that I can recall. Α.
 - Q. Okay.
- Α. If you wouldn't mind, may I use the bathroom, is that all right? MR McQUADE: Sure, we'll take a break.

THE VIDEO OPERATOR: Going off the record at 4:28.

(A recess was taken.)

16:27:30 2 16:27:31 3 16:27:33 4 16:27:34 5 16:27:44 6 16:27:47 7 16:27:53 8 16:27:53 9 16:27:54 10 16:27:55 11 16:27:57 12 16:27:59 13 16:28:03 14 16:28:04 15 16:28:06 16 16:28:06 17 16:28:07 18 16:28:12 19 16:28:16 20 16:28:20 21 16:28:21 22 16:28:23 23 16:28:23 24

16:28:25 25

LEGALINK, A MERRILL CORPORATION (800) 325-3376 www.Legalink.com

- A. At times.
- Q. And I think you told me in 2004 you believed that you should have received a five rating?
 - A. In 2004, yes.
- Q. So these symptoms you were experiencing didn't affect your work performance in any way, did they?
- A. It affected, you know, what I felt was my comfort level and my ability but I worked through them, I worked through it.
- Q. 2005, what type of symptoms were you experiencing?
- A. Just real depressed, you know, I just felt like there was nothing -- no matter what I did it wasn't good -- it wouldn't be good enough. So it just got real, real bad after that.
 - Q. Anything else in 2005?
- A. Everything just escalated. Everything just got --
 - Q. When did you -- when was

- 16:44:35 2
- 16:44:37 3
- 16:44:39 4
- 16:44:43 5
- 16:44:46 6
- 16:44:50 7
- 16:44:53 8
- 16:44:55 9
- 16:44:57 10
- 16:45:02 11
- 16:45:09 12
- 16:45:13 13
- 16:45:14 14
- 16:45:18 15
- 16:45:21 16
- 16:45:25 17
- 16:45:27 18
- 16:45:29 19
- 16:45:31 20
- 16:45:34 21
- 16:45:37 22
- 16:45:39 23
- 16:45:42 24
- 16:45:43 25

d l	•
	1
your I	16:45:45 2
. 1	16:45:48 3
Ç	16:45:53 4
1	16:45:54 5
August	16:45:56 6
. ,	16:45:57 7
reques	16:45:59 8
. 2	16:46:03 9
,	16:46:10 10
a leav	16:46:12 11
· 1	16:46:12 12
to11.	16:46:14 13
Ç	16:46:14 14
. 2	16:46:16 15
throug	16:46:17 16
. ,	16:46:18 17
you be	16:46:21 18
. 2	16:46:23 19
condit	16:46:26 20
mean]	16:46:29 21
depres	16:46:32 22
syndro	16:46:35 23
like i	16:46:37 24
uncont	16:46:40 25

your last day at work at Wyeth?

- A. August 2005.
- Q. And --
- A. I believe it was the 5th of August actual physical work.
- Q. At that time you submitted a request for disability benefits?
 - A. Right, for leave.
- Q. And why did you want to take a leave?
- A. Because things had taken its
 - Q What had taken its toll?
- A. What I had been going through at Wyeth.
- Q. And what was the toll? Can you be more specific?
- A. The overall physical condition -- condition I was in. I mean I didn't -- I just was severely depressed. I had irritable bowel syndrome. My heart at sometimes I felt like it was racing, you know, uncontrollably at times. I mean I

would sit at my desk and my palms would get all sweaty, I would just break out in sweats and chills. I mean there was -- there was a lot of things that started happening to me that didn't happen before.

- Q. Is there anything else, any other symptoms?
- A. I had severe anxiety, like it was like I wanted to do everything perfect and I -- and I didn't want to fail at anything, so I got hyper just in terms of just preparing documents and getting this done and trying to do -- being three places at one time and I couldn't keep up with that pace and it took its toll.
- Q. So you decided to take a disability leave?
- A. Well, it was recommended by, you know, the individual, the doctor was talking to me and the health care provider was talking to me, I told them what I was going through. I explained

1 16:46:44 2 16:46:46 3 16:46:48 4 16:46:50 5 16:46:52 6 16:46:54 7 16:46:58 8 16:47:00 9 16:47:00 10 16:47:04 11 16:47:06 12 16:47:08 13 16:47:10 14 16:47:11 15 16:47:14 16 16:47:16 17 16:47:19 18 16:47:20 19 16:47:22 20 16:47:23 21 16:47:30 22 16:47:32 23 16:47:33 24

16:47:36 25

LEGALINK, A MERRILL CORPORATION (800) 325-3376 www.Legalink.com

•	·
· 1	HOWARD A. HENRY
16:54:39 2	Q. Do you know if benefits were
16:54:40 3	denied?
16:54:41 4	A. For Workmen's Comp?
16:54:44 5	Q. Yes.
16:54:46 6	A. They were pending at this
16:54:48 7	point.
8	(Henry Exhibit 34 for
· 9	identification, Bates stamped D 00571
16:54:58 10	and 572.)
16:54:58 11	Q. I'll show you a document
16:54:58 12	which has been marked Henry Exhibit 34.
16:55:26 13	Do you recall receiving this document
16:55:28 14	which is a letter dated January 10th,
16:55:31 15	2006, from Littece Culler?
16:55:37 16	A. Yes.
16:55:38 17	Q. If you look at the second
16:55:47 18	paragraph, second sentence, it says
16:55:50 19	"Unless you submit appropriate medical
16:55:51 20	documentation indicating your ability
16:55:53 21	to return to work within a reasonable
16:55:55 22	and defined period of time, your
16:55:57 23	employment will be terminated effective
16:56:00 24	February 6th, 2006." Do you remember
16:56:04 25	reading that?

16:56:05 2 16:56:06 3 16:56:11 4 16:56:15 5 16:56:17 6 16:56:20 7 16:56:20 8 16:56:22 9 16:56:23 10 16:56:24 11 16:56:29 12 16:56:31 13 16:56:33 14 16:56:36 15 16 16:56:39 17 16:56:39 18 16:56:40 19 16:56:41 20 16:56:52 21 16:56:57 22 16:56:57 23 16:56:58 24 16:57:00 25

HOWARD A. HENRY

- A. Yes.
- Q. Did you ever obtain any type of medical -- any additional medical documentation from any of your doctors who were treating you and provide it to Wyeth?
 - A. And provided it to Wyeth?
 - Q. Yes.
 - A. Before this date?
 - Q. Yes.
- A. I'm not sure I provided it to Wyeth. I think I provided it to Workmen's Comp, but I don't think -- I don't think so.

(Henry Exhibit 35 for identification, Bates stamped D 00575.)

- Q. Okay. I'm going to show you a document that's been marked Henry Exhibit 35, which is a February 7th, 2006 letter from Martin Allen. Do you remember receiving this document?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And this document -- if you look at the second paragraph of

this document it says "To date, Wyeth still has received no medical documentation from you. In response to Ms. Culler's January 10th, 2006 letter. Therefore, consistent with the standard Wyeth practices your employment with Wyeth is terminated effective Monday, February 6th, 2006." At this date you still hadn't submitted any medical

HOWARD A. HENRY

Not at this -- not at this Α. point.

documentation to Wyeth?

- Q. Is there any reason why you didn't submit medical documentation to Wyeth?
- Based on the treatment I received when I was at Wyeth and based on how I was received at Wyeth, at that point I didn't feel it would -- it would be conducive for me to return.
- Were you capable of returning at that point?
- To perform the same functions, to feel the same way I was

1 16:57:02 2 16:57:05 3 16:57:06 4 16:57:08 5 16:57:12 6 16:57:14 7 16:57:16 8 16:57:19 9 16:57:23 10 16:57:25 11 16:57:27 12 16:57:29 13 16:57:32 14 16:57:33 15 16:57:36 16 16:57:37 17 16:57:42 18 16:57:45 19

16:57:49 20

16:57:51 21

16:57:54 22

16:57:56 23

16:58:00 24

16:58:02 25

LEGALINK, A MERRILL CORPORATION (800) 325-3376 www.Legalink.com

	·
1	HOWARD A. HENRY
17:26:00 2	A. Yes.
3	(Henry Exhibit 39 for
4	identification, Bates stamped 2224
17:26:27 5	through 2230.)
17:26:27 6	Q. Mr. Henry, I put before you
17:26:27 7	another document that's been marked
17:26:29 8	Exhibit 39. Do you recognize this
17:26:32 9	document?
17:26:33 10	A. Yes.
17:26:44 11	Q. Did you receive a copy of
17:26:46 12	this document during your employment?
17:26:49 13	A. I recall signing it. I
17:26:51 14	don't know if I got a copy.
17:26:53 15	Q. Okay. Well I can represent
17:26:56 16	to you that this document was produced
17:26:57 17	to us by your counsel.
17:27:16 18	A. It was produced by counsel?
17:27:17 19	Q. Your counsel.
17:27:19 20	A. Okay.
17:27:20 21	Q. Do you recall reading this
17:27:25 22	document?
17:27:25 23	A. I don't know if I I don't
17:27:32 24	know if I read it completely. I don't
17:27:41 25	even recall if at the time I understood
	•

. .

it.

(Henry Exhibit 40 for identification, Bates stamped D 00067.)

HOWARD A. HENRY

- Okay, Mr. Henry, I'm putting before you a document that's been marked Exhibit 40 regarding the harassment policy. If you'd look at the bottom, towards the bottom of the page is an acknowledgment. It says "This is to acknowledge that I've read the procedures above and received a copy of Wyeth's equal employment opportunity policy, harassment and discrimination policy, complaint procedure for unlawful discrimination and harassment, Americans With Disabilities Act policy, assurance of fair treatment policy and open door policy." Is that your signature down below?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So does this refresh your recollection as to whether you read and understood those policies?

-17:27:56 4

17:27:43 2

17:27:56 5

17:27:57 6

17:27:58 7

17:28:03 8 .

17:28:09 9

17:28:12 10

17:28:15 11

17:28:16 12

17:28:18 13

17:28:21 14

17:28:22 15

17:28:24 16

17:28:26 17

17:28:31 18

17:28:32 19

17:28:34 20

17:28:44 21

17:28:44 22

17:28:44 23

17:28:46 24

17:28:47 25

- A. Well I read it, but I don't
 -- I can't say I completely understood
 them.
- Q. Did you understand that you had the right to make complaints with management and HR if you felt that you were being discriminated against?
 - A. Say that for me once more.
- Q. Did you understand that you had the right to make complaints to either Wyeth management or HR if you felt that you had been discriminated against?
- A. I learned of that right, yes.
- Q. When did you learn of that right?
- A. As I started to proceed with the process of what was occurring with me in 2003.
 - Q Did you --
- A. As a result of going through what I'm going through.
 - Q. So are you saying, are you

17:28:49 2 17:28:50 3 17:28:51 4 . 17:28:52 5 17:28:53 6 17:29:03 7 17:29:05 8 17:29:07 9 17:29:12 10 17:29:14 11 17:29:16 12 17:29:20 13 17:29:23 14 17:29:23 15 17:29:24 16 17:29:25 17 17:29:27 18 17:29:28 19 17:29:31 20 17:29:37 21 17:29:41 22 17:29:42 23 17:29:43 24

17:29:44 25

LEGALINK, A MERRILL CORPORATION (800) 325-3376 www.Legalink.com

1

HOWARD A. HENRY

telling me that before 2003 you didn't know that you had the right --

- A. It wasn't -- it wasn't something that we paid attention to.

 You knew you had certain inalienable rights but you just don't really give it much thought until you actually have to execute and use some of that. So it wasn't a thought that, you know, I paid that much attention to.
- Q Do you recall if you read this document before you signed it, referring to Exhibit 40?
- A. I can't say that I recall reading it and scrutinizing it like I am now. I mean we were given a lot of things to sign sometimes and sometimes just because we have a deadline to meet to get it in by a certain date you may just glance over it and sign it.

MR. McQUADE: Mark that, please.

(Henry Exhibit 41 for identification, Bates stamped 2312

17:29:50 4 17:29:51 5

17:29:52 6

17:29:56 7

17:29:58 8

17:29:59 9

17:30:02 10

17:30:04 11

17:30:15 12

17:30:16 13

17:30:20 14

17:30:22 15

17:30:30 16

17:30:32 17

17:30:34 18

17:30:36 19

17:30:38 20

17:30:40 21

17:30:55 22

17:30:56 23

24

25